

<b>Family Name</b>	Waugh
<b>Given Name</b>	George
<b>Person ID</b>	1286485
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Waugh
<b>Given Name</b>	George
<b>Person ID</b>	1286485
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The building of these house,s would have a devastating effect on the local wildlife, not to mention local amenities, I find it difficult to get a doctors appointment already what affect will a further 1500 to 2000 people have. Then there is the problem of increased traffic along a road that is already busy, plus there is the problem of air pollution around the local primary schools. As for secondary schools they are already full ,are there plans to build a new school to accommodate a new influx (.NO). The proposed area also has a history of flooding, if the adjacent land is concreted then Bagslate moor road would become impassable, whether it,s one way or not. Last point I doubt whether much affordable housing will be built, thus defeating the object of PfE, it would also appear to me that the desirability of the area would be lost, because your building on the very land that makes it attractive GREENBELT.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The modification should be to remove JPA 19 Bamford/Norden from PfE.